JUL 3 0 2010 JUL 3

In re Patent Application of

**ANDREASON** 

Atty. Ref.: 1410-762; Confirmation No. 8452

Appl. No. 09/898,480

TC/A.U. 2618

Filed: July 5, 2001

Examiner: Aminzay, Shaima Q.

For: AN ARRANGEMENT AND A METHOD IN A TELEPHONY SYSTEM

July 30, 2010

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## **CLARIFICATION LETTER**

Applicant agrees with the Board and the Examiner that the combination of features recited in each of the independent claims is patentable. However, a review of the Board's decision revealed a use of the term "stationary wireline phone." Wireline is understood by Applicants as another way of identifying a stationary phone. For the record, the claims use the term "stationary telephony terminal" and do not use the term "wireline." Although the specification describes a non-limiting example where a stationary telephony terminal may have "similarities with a conventional stationary deskphone," (see the specification at page 6, lines 13-15), the claimed stationary telephony terminal need not include the features normally found in a conventional deskphone. The specification, for example, explains that the stationary telephony terminal does not need wire for connection to a telephony network, etc.

The intent is for the claim term "stationary telephony terminal" to be interpreted using only the plain and ordinary meanings for the individual words stationary, telephony, and

ANDREASON Appl. No. 09/898,480 July 30, 2010

terminal. This broad meaning of "stationary telephony terminal" is the meaning that is

understood when the term "stationary wireline phone" is used in the papers.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:

John R. Lastova Reg. No. 33,149

JRL:maa

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100